

Shropshire Council
Legal and Democratic Services
Shirehall
Abbey Foregate
Shrewsbury
SY2 6ND

Date: Wednesday, 25 February 2015

Committee:

Loton, Longden, Ford and Rea Valley Local Joint Committee

Date: Thursday, 5 March 2015

Time: 7.00 pm

Venue: Westbury Village Hall, Hinwood Road, Westbury

You are requested to attend the above meeting.
The Agenda is attached

Claire Porter
Head of Legal and Democratic Services (Monitoring Officer)

Members of Loton, Longden, Ford and Rea Valley Local Joint Committee

Tudor Bebb	John Fox
Roger Evans	Tony Hill
David Roberts	David Nunn
Geoffrey Brown	John Pritchard
Bill Clyne	David Sandbach
Neil Evans	Patrick Siese

Your Committee Officer is:

David Fairclough / Mathew Mead Community Enablement Officers

Tel: 01743 252483 / 01743 252534

Email: david.fairclough@shropshire.gov.uk / mathew.mead@shropshire.gov.uk

AGENDA

1 Election of Chair & Vice Chair

Members of the LJC are invited to vote for a Chair & Vice Chair for this meeting

2 Public Question Time

To receive questions from members of the public.

Members of the public will also have the opportunity to ask for updates on matters discussed at previous meetings not raised elsewhere in the meeting

3 Welcomes & Apologies for Absence

To receive apologies for absence from Members of the Committee

4 Substitutions

To receive notice of any substitutions

5 Declarations of Interest

To receive any declarations of interest from members of the Committee

6 Notes of the Last meeting (Pages 1 - 10)

The note of the meeting of the Longden Ford & Rea Valley LJC Meeting held on 24 July 2014 are attached for confirmation.

The notes of the meeting of the Loton and Tern LJC meeting held on 6 November 2014 are attached for information

7 Emergency Services Update

Representatives of the local Police and Fire Services will attend the meeting to give a local update

8 Shropshire Council Planning

Eddie West, Shropshire Council Principal Policy Specialist within the Planning Policy Team will give a brief presentation about the current situation regarding planning within a national and local context

9 Youth Consultation Report

David Fairclough and Mathew Mead will give a presentation on the recent youth consultation done on behalf of the LJC

10 Youth Consultation Options (Pages 11 - 14)

David Fairclough and Mathew Mead will present an options paper for the LJC.

The LJC are asked to recommend an option for the Officers to put out for quotes

11 Date of Next Meeting

To confirm the date of the next meeting of the LJC

This page is intentionally left blank



Longden, Ford and
Rea Valley Local Joint
Committee

21 July 2014

Item

4

Public

**LONGDEN FORD AND REA VALLEY LOCAL JOINT COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 21.07.14
HANWOOD VILLAGE HALL
7.00 – 9.35pm**

Responsible Officer David Fairclough
e-mail: David.Fairclough@shropshire.gov.uk Tel: 01743 252739

Committee Members Present:

David Leeson	Minsterley Parish Council
Bill Clyne	Ford Parish Council
Roger Evans	Shropshire Council
John Fox	Great Hanwood Parish Council
Neil Evans	Longden Parish Council
John Pritchard	Pontesbury Parish Council
Tudor Bebb	Shropshire Council (Chair)

Officers present:

Neil Wilcox, Local Commissioning Manager
David Fairclough, Community Action Officer
Chris Lloyd-Gwillam, Shropshire Fire & Rescue
Claire Cox, Economic Growth commissioning

There were ten members of the public present.

1. Election of Chairperson

The outgoing Chair Tudor Bebb nominated Cllr Roger Evans as Chair; this was seconded by Neil Evans. All were in favour.

The new Chair wished to place on record his thanks to Cllr Bebb for Chairing the LJC for the past year. Cllr Evans then nominated Cllr Bebb as vice-chair. This was seconded by Bill Clyne. All were in favour

2. Welcomes and apologies for absence

Minsterley Parish Councillor Geoff Brown and P.C Jon Summerfield

3. Substitutions

David Leeson substituting for Geoff Brown

4. Declarations of Interest

No declarations were recorded.

5. Notes of Last Meeting

Cllr Bebb mentioned an alteration for misspelling. The new correct minutes need to be signed by Cllr Evans following the meeting.

6. Fire Service Update

The fire service has had another resignation from the service; however a new person is currently in training. Currently 13 still serving from Minsterley. There have been recent incidents of Barns catching fire. No causes have yet to be determined.

Brigade – are pushing the online consultation. The Fire Chief was on radio and TV discussing - Shaping Your Service, 2020 in which £900,000 needs to be saved from fire service budgets. There is potential for the Control room to move from Shrewsbury to Worcester. The Fire services are still looking for volunteers in the area for day cover.

TB Question: What is the potential for the Fire Station in Minsterley to close?

Answer: No likelihood that Minsterley will close as things stand as the station is not in the 4 earmarked savings stations.

7. Community Road Safety partnership

Rod Lake, Traffic management advisor for West Midlands Police gave a presentation regarding Community Speed Watch. The scheme isn't new but for West Mercia and Safer Roads partnership it is relatively new. In West Mercia something similar happened in Shifnal historically.

CSW has been raised again by Bill Longmore PCC. The running will come under the safer roads partnership. At the moment, there are two pilot schemes in Worcs and Hereford and early indications are that they're running OK. Intention is to introduce it force-wide this year.

The CSW Scheme involves trained volunteers, at least 3 per trip out. All kit and training provided by West Mercia Police. Notices can be sent out to the perpetrators of speeding but not convictions.

Locations the CRSP would have to investigate to ensure CSW volunteers would remain safe. 30/40mph speed limit zones. Min 6 volunteers, min 3 expected to carry out a check (health and safety reasons). All data - given to local Police and they'd do the admin behind this, e.g. letters.

CSW should be classed as another string to the bow, but not the only tool to be used. Pilot scheme doing well but will always need volunteers, perhaps 1 hr per week.

Q: Our LJC Area wishes to apply - How will this work?

A: Publicity launch and communities can apply via website or through local Policing teams. It must fit the criteria.

Q: Pilots – Will the Community Support Officer have to be with volunteers?

A: No - Just volunteers

Q: Cost:

A) None - All gear can be kept at local volunteers house (the radars) and will be provided by West Mercia Police.

Q) How many lots of equipment will Shropshire get?

A) All groups will get one unit per group, unless they wish to share. In total, 10 schemes will exist throughout West Mercia, with perhaps Shropshire getting 2-3 schemes if they fit the criteria.

Q: Volunteers must restrict themselves to just speed?

A: Yes - can't check mobile phones etc

Q: Volunteers won't be able to prosecute the speeders. If speeder drives through many times?

A: Speed, Time, Location, Reg plate all noted - And Then Police will send out the letters. 1) Letter, 2nd offense - a stern letter, 3rd time a visit from the Police.

8. West Mid Ambulance Service - Defibrillators

Cliff Dorricott, Community Response Manager gave a presentation to the LJC regarding defibrillators

There are over 140,000 out of hospital cardiac arrests in the UK. 80 - 90% of these attacks can be helped by using defibrillators. The WMAS offer 4hr training course & 2hr refresher courses to train residents in using defibrillators.

Q. What is a resident hurts someone?

A. Following a cardiac arrest the patient is already not alive hence needing to use the machine. Therefore, what's the worst that can happen?

The community defibrillators battery will last for 4years. The machine costs £800 with a 7 year guarantee and batteries can be replaced within 24hrs for £125-136

Q. Councillors have heard that boxes are expensive to store the machines in?

A. There are many companies who offer various styles. The WMAS are happy with any box, with a lock that can be opened by members of the community. They don't have to be expensive.

Q. What about graffiti and young people messing about with the machines?

A. Since 2008 only one machine has been interfered with, and so at present this is not deemed to be an issue.

Statement from the public: I think this is an excellent idea and something the Parishes and LJC should be looking to introduce.

Q: Who has to buy the machines?

A: All communities will go about it in a different way. Most have raised money and have had support from British Heart Foundation in the form of subsidy for £400

Q) What's the biggest community barrier to being a volunteer?

A) Fear and training. The more people can see the machines, see they're easy to use and have access to training, MWAS hope to remove the fear from residents.

Q) How many volunteers would be needed?

A) Start with 6 or 10 and then continue to grow from there.

9. The Future of the Local Joint Committee

Neil Wilcox, Commissioning Manager at Shropshire Council gave a presentation about the future role of LJC's.

All LJC's have missed having the grant funding, although contrary to this, some LJC's don't miss funding as it had changed them in to something they didn't want originally intend to be.

Strategically - The idea and approach of local commissioning has taken off. The opportunity for communities is to identify the priorities and how communities can take control and solve these issues. The LJC's will be able to look at all assets the local community has - and look at how further coordination of this can benefit residents. More designs need to be given locally and therefore more money too.

LJC's are the best starting point for these discussions and will be given the delegated authority to spend money. CET will continue to enable the community to help develop action plans etc. e.g. through the place plans. Shropshire Council is committed to this idea.

An example of locality commissioning is where the LJC's can do the speed watch scheme and point out where the best place for the cameras to go etc. The first pilot scheme is likely to be Young People

10. Economic Growth Pilot

Claire Cox presented at the LJC about a Shropshire Council project in economic growth.

In mid-March the authority asked the question 'How can we deliver economic growth Shropshire Wide'. This question has led to prototyping in 7 weeks in Minsterley & Pontesbury area. The team will be based in Pontesbury youth centre for the next seven weeks.

JP: Pleased to see it happening but frustrated that it's happening now in the School holidays.

All: Agree

Claire: Agreed, however, due to timescales set by management this was necessary to do.

11. Shared funding proposal

The clerk at Longden wasn't sure the LJC could do this under section 137

DF read out a reply from Karen Roper - Area secretary of ALC who stated it could technically be done, however it would need the agreement of all concerned.

Ford & Pontesbury Parish Council's agree in principle to the idea, subject to the potential process.

Longden, Hanwood & Minsterley would like to explore this further with their Clerks and other parish councillors.

**LOTON AND TERN
LOCAL JOINT COMMITTEE**

**NOTE OF DECISIONS AND ACTIONS STEMMING FROM THE MEETING HELD
ON 6TH NOVEMBER
7.30 PM – 9.00 PM
AT
ASTLEY VILLAGE HALL, ASTLEY**

Responsible Officer Mathew Mead

e-mail: mathew.mead@shropshire.gov.uk

Tel: 01743 252534

Committee Members Present:

Shropshire Council

David Roberts

Loton Electoral Ward

John Everall

Tern Electoral Ward

Town/Parish Councils

Elliott Blackmore

Bicton Parish Council

Pam Furber

Bomere Heath Parish Council

Phil Heath

Withington Parish Council

Lynne Padmore

Uffington Parish Council

Roy Griffiths

Alberbury with Cardeston Parish Council

Malcolm Colclough

Astley Parish Council

David Sandbach

Westbury Parish Council

Officers Present:

Mathew Mead

Community Enablement Officer

Neil Wilcox

Commissioning Manager Shropshire Council

1. Welcome and Introductions

Mathew Mead welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2. Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Councillor Barry Bennion, Councillor David Nunn and Councillor Patrick Siese, with Councillor Roy Griffiths substituting

3. Disposable Pecuniary Interests

Members were reminded that they must not participate in the discussion or voting on any matter in which they have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest and should leave the room prior to the commencement of the debate.

4. Notes of Previous Meeting

The minutes were agreed as a correct record of the previous LJC on 31st July, and the Chairman signed the minutes.

5. **Update on Locality Commissioning and Local Joint Committees by Neil Willcox, Locality Commissioning Manager Shropshire Council**

Mathew Mead introduced Neil and recapped the reasons behind needing to change the boundaries of the Local Joint Committees (LJC's) in the Shrewsbury area. Currently there are 4 rural and 6 urban Local Joint Committees, and due to reductions in staff at the Council, together with a need to alter the way LJC's operate these needed to be reduced down to 3 Rural LJC's and 1 urban LJC for Shrewsbury.

Discussions had taken place with the Shropshire Councillors for the area, and Parish Councils and a number of options had been discussed, with the option of creating an East/West split of the rural LJC's being the preferred option of the Shropshire Councillors.

This would see Councillor David Roberts electoral division of Loton (Westbury, Alberbury, Great Ness and Little Ness and Montford) join the existing Longden Ford and Rea Valley LJC to form the Loton, Longden, Ford and Rea Valley LJC

Councillor John Everalls electoral division on Tern (Bomere Heath, Bicton, Astley, Upton Magna, Uffington and Withington) join with the Severn Valley electoral division to form a new Tern and Severn Valley LJC. A map of the proposed areas was shown.

The basis behind the new areas was that:

Each Shropshire Councillor division should not be split between two LJC's

There should be approximately the same population within each LJC area

There should be some similarities of geography and interests between communities in each LJC

However both Shropshire Councillors and officers acknowledged that the proposed new LJC areas were not perfect and had to be a compromise of a number of different issues. The concerns of Bomere Heath, Bicton and Great Ness and Little Ness Parishes had been raised in the consultation process and were acknowledged as being significant issues in the new LJC boundaries.

Neil went on to talk more about the new role proposed for Local Joint Committees. Rather than simply being a forum where issues were discussed the new LJC's would play a role in the devolving of decision making and resources to a more local level.

Local Joint Committee's would take a role in identifying the local needs within their area, and start to allocate resources to tackle these issues. The first of these areas of work would be youth services. Less youth activity would be delivered directly by Shropshire Council, but it would instead commission community led organisations to deliver services that met identified needs of young people. LJC's would help identify these issues and discuss how best to tackle the issue, with the Community Enablement Officers and Councils Commissioning team then helping the LJC's to commission the new services. The town and parish Councils on the LJC would not be employing staff directly, this would still be done through Shropshire Council.

Committee members gave their initial views on the proposals.

Councillors from the Loton division said they could see the thinking behind linking them with the Longden, Ford and Rea Valley LJC, as they perhaps looked more to Pontesbury and Minsterley for some of their services, and these areas also fell within the Mary Webb School catchment area, which was important from a youth perspective

Councillors from the Tern division expressed concern that the area was too large and Parishes to the north west of Shrewsbury (Bicton, Bomere Heath, Nesscliffe) had little in common with the Severn Valley and would be better suited to the Longden, Ford and Rea Valley or Five Perry Parishes LJC.

Members of the LJC also expressed concerns that the commissioning model was simply one aimed at saving money and passing too much decision making down to a Parish Council level. Neil acknowledged that the new youth commissioning budget was significantly less than the previous budget, but that these levels of budget reduction were happening across the Council, and the Council couldn't continue to deliver services in the way it had. It was a risk of the new model that some Parish Councils might chose not to take part in the new LJC's.

Councillors Overall and Roberts acknowledged the issue of the geographies not suiting every parish, but felt that moving some parishes to different areas would alter the populations so one LJC had a much larger population than another. They also put forward the idea of holding meetings in one or two central venues to help reduce travel times and costs for the Councillors.

Over time the housing developments in Shrewsbury and Bicton, and also in areas such as Bomere Heath and Nesscliffe would mean a boundary review was needed, and that would provide an opportunity to alter the electoral

divisions in a more equitable way. However the new LJC's for a first step in looking to work in a different way.

Mathew and Neil stated that the new proposals and commissioning model still needed to be confirmed by Shropshire Council, but that it was hoped the new LJC's would start to operate and look at allocating funding early in 2015. It would therefore be necessary to hold an initial meeting of the new LJC's before Christmas to start to discuss the local issues.

While an area might be in one LJC there was no reason why they couldn't work closely with neighbouring LJC's, and attend their meetings. Many services are delivered across boundaries and using local knowledge to identify these would be important. Community Enablement Officers would also be able to support cross boarder work, and on a day to day basis their work with Parish Councils would remain unchanged.

6. Update in Future Fit Consultation Paul Tulley – Chief Operating Officer Shropshire CCG group.

Paul thanked the Committee for its invitation to speak to them and gave a brief background on the Future Fit programme. It was based in the assumption that hospital services needed to change if they were to meet the changing needs of the population in the 21st century, whilst also meeting the budgetary restrictions placed on the health service. Some of the key issues it addressed were people living longer, with life long illness; the need to provide top quality care for people suffering trauma injuries or illness; and to reduce illness caused by obesity, smoking and diet.

Future Fit looked at how services could be offered in Shropshire and Telford and Wrekin, and included emergency care, long term care and also preventative care. The long list of proposals looked at how urgent care, planned care and community based services could be delivered.

Clinically hosting emergency care (A&E) at one centre provided the best care, and allowed the service to recruit the best staff and offer the best service. This could be located at Shrewsbury; Telford or possibly a new site in between the two towns. Urgent care centres for less serious emergency care cases could be located in both Shrewsbury and Telford, as well as between 2 and 5 other locations in the county.

The Future Fit programme also suggested setting up a separate planned care unit, where planned operations could take place. By separating this from the A&E unit the quality of service would improve and appointments wouldn't be cancelled as doctors wouldn't be diverted to deal with emergencies.

However the location of the emergency care unit was a very difficult issue to resolve. Hosting the service at Shrewsbury would make it easier to access for people from South Shropshire and mid Wales; but there was a higher population in Telford so it also made sense to locate it where there were more people.

Paul stressed that every service currently available in the county would remain in the new proposals. Some major trauma cases will go to Stoke, Birmingham or Wolverhampton, as they do now – but the number of patients that have to have this level of care are very small.

A long list of options for the future of hospital services in Shropshire has been drawn up, and these would be reduced down to a short list of 3-4 which would be consulted on again at the start of 2015. Any proposals were based on the service not receiving any more government funding, so if new sites were built they would have to be funded by cost savings from other areas. However purpose built sites were cheaper to run and could offer significant revenue savings. New services would be unlikely to start until 2018 at the earliest.

Councillor Sandbach (formerly chief executive of Telford and Wrekin hospital) suggested that while the Future Fit plans had some issues, he felt they offered the opportunity to create a very effective health care service in the county. Separating emergency and planned care up was a very important change that could bring big benefits. However he preferred the option of locating emergency care at Shrewsbury, as it provided quicker access to services for people to the west of the county. Committee members agreed with this, and felt that while Telford had a larger population they also had more alternative hospitals near-by such as Wolverhampton, Birmingham and Stafford.

Members of the public asked about the location of clinics, as many people with long term conditions have to travel to Shrewsbury or Telford for treatments and consultations and this is difficult for people in rural areas.

Paul responded that more local centre's, and wider ranges of services at local surgeries were being looked at to help this issue. Local centres could hold clinics which consultants visited for appointments, and increasing skills of surgery staff to undertake services such as blood tests, dressing changes, and elements of minor surgery were being looked at. Some members of the audience suggested this sounded like a return to cottage hospitals. Paul also felt that new technology could also help deliver solutions locally or even in people's homes, with video consultations and even some treatments now being possible remotely using computers.

Members of the Committee commented that ambulances were also an issue in Shropshire, and in rural areas response times were often way below national averages and agreed targets. Paul said these issues were being considered as part of the programme. Improving ambulance response times was important, but this had to be balanced against the cost. Hundreds of new ambulance staff would be needed to reduce response times, and clinically it might be better to invest this money in hospital services to provide better outcomes for patients.

The work of Community First Responders and having defibrillation units available in communities was also an effective way of providing immediate emergency care at a local level, and making sure the voluntary sector was included in the discussions was important.

Further consultations on the Future Fit shortlist would take place in 2015 and Paul encouraged communities to get involved and give their views and also offered to provide further updates via the LJC's in the future.

7. Resolutions and updates from the Parish Councils

No resolutions were received from the Parish Councils

8. Community Enablement Update

Details of the new “Horsewatch” scheme to reduce thefts and attacks on farm animals in the region were circulated to the meeting. Owners of livestock can sign up to receive information on livestock related crime and get news on preventative measures they can adopt to reduce the risk of their animals being stolen. Residents can also sign up to other updates from Neighbourhood Watch at

<https://www.westmercia.police.uk/register-to-receive-watch-messages.html>

The Police are also looking to reintroduce the “Community Speedwatch” scheme in the Policing area. The scheme allows volunteers to monitor speeding in their area. While the scheme can’t be run on all roads, and some training is required, it could be a way of addressing concerns about speeding identified in many rural communities.

Parish Councils can register their interest in the scheme by contacting the coordinator, Ian Connolly

Ian Connolly Scheme Coordinator – Warwickshire and West Mercia Police

Tel: 01562 826032 ext 3032

Email: ian.connolly@westmercia.pnn.police.uk

9. Have your say question time

No questions were raised by members of the public attending the meeting

Councillor Roberts closed the meeting at 9pm and thanked Paul Tulley for his presentation, and Astley Parish Council for hosting the meeting.

Chair: _____

Date: _____

Loton, Longden, Ford & Rea Valley LJC

5th March 2015

1. Background

The Loton, Longden Ford & Rea Valley LJC have been awarded £16,630 under the 'commissioning' service at Shropshire Council. This money is granted to meet the needs of young people and to support the following outcomes:

- Ensuring all Children & Young People are safe and well looked after in a supportive environment
- Narrowing the achievement gap in education & work
- Ensuring emotional wellbeing of Children & Young People by focusing on prevention and early intervention
- Keeping more children healthy and reducing health inequalities

- 1.1 The LJC are asked to consider three options and recommend one option that the LJC would like the Shropshire Council Officers to receive quotes on.
- 1.2 All data presented at this meeting has been sourced by the local authority, it's partners and by the office of national statistics.
- 1.3 The LJC are asked to consider any new mechanisms for service delivery utilising all community organisations and assets to their maximum benefit for young people.
- 1.4 The quotes document will be drawn up by Shropshire Council Officers, in conjunction with Shropshire Council procurement policy.
- 1.5 Once quotes from providers are received, the officers will judge the quotes on set criteria and will bring its findings back to the LJC. The LJC will then recommend the provider they wish to see awarded the contract.
- 1.6 Shropshire Council Officers will award the contract for one year initially.
- 1.7 Where grants are an option, Shropshire Council Officers will be asked to present a business case for the grant and the LJC will be asked to recommend if any grant should be given. The grant will be awarded by Shropshire Council Officers.

2. Options for youth activities

This paper presents three potential options for this LJC to consider. Should the LJC wish to recommend another option, this will be deliberated over by Shropshire Council Officers.

- 2.1 **Option One** – This option would see Shropshire Council Officers commission and receive quotes from youth providers to deliver services in the areas of most need within this LJC; Minsterley & Westbury.

- 2.2 Through the quotes document, the youth provider would be expected to provide a minimum range of activities and educational material throughout a 43 week year, excluding holidays, that could include: (this list is not exhaustive)
- Drug, Alcohol and sexual and public health advice
 - Anti-Bullying advice including cyber bullying awareness
 - Multi sports activities for both males and females
 - Arts and or crafts activities
 - Education aimed at increasing curriculum attainment levels
 - Social and life skills (e.g. natural environment, cooking, money admin etc)
- 2.3 The quotes document would be sent to a range of providers throughout Shropshire to ensure maximum competition and best value.
- 2.4 This option would also see a £1,000 grant awarded to existing youth clubs in the three areas of Hanwood, Ford and Nesscliffe, as long as they signed an agreement to support the outcomes listed in this paper.
- 2.5 Should the quotes be less than anticipated, any residual funds will be discussed at future public LJC meetings.
- 2.6 The management of these youth clubs is yet to be determined.
- 2.7 **Option 2** – This option would see Shropshire Council officers commission transport to three existing youth clubs from their surrounding areas.
- 2.8 Transport would be procured for the following routes:
- Minsterley, Pontesbury, Longden to Hanwood (and return) 21 Seats to arrive at Hanwood Youth Club
 - Westbury, Wattlesborough, Halfway House to Ford (and return) 21 seats to arrive at Ford Youth Club.
 - Alberbury, Shawardine, Great Ness, Little Ness to Nesscliffe (and return) 21 seats to arrive at Nesscliffe Youth Club
- 2.9 The transport would be provided for 43 weeks per year, excluding School holidays
- 2.10 Should the initial quotes from transport providers leave residual funding; this money will be shared equally amongst the existing youth clubs to enable them to manage more young people.
- 2.11 **Option 3** – Shropshire Council Officers would commission a virtual or ‘non-static’ youth club in which providers of various youth activities could tender for work.
- 2.12 The quote document would ensure providers met the four key outcomes and would do activity in both Minsterley & Westbury for at least 22 weeks. The remaining 21 weeks services could be delivered anywhere else in the LJC area.

2.13 The quote document would be requesting multiple providers (or one provider) to provide at least one of the below activities or, all of the activities in the case of a singular provider:

- Drug, Alcohol and sexual and public health advice
- Anti-bullying advice and cyber-bullying awareness
- Multi sports activities for both males and females
- Arts and or crafts activities
- Education aimed at increasing curriculum attainment levels
- Social and life skills (e.g. natural environment, cooking, money admin etc)

2.14 The management of this non-static youth club is yet to be determined

2.15 All three options will require volunteers to support the professional youth workers. Shropshire Youth Association (SYA) have a contract with Shropshire Council to support volunteers and their recruitment within localities.

3. Quoting documentation

3.1 Shropshire Council Officers will take the LJC recommendation and create a document that providers can use to quote for the work.

3.2 The document will go out to a range of providers of youth activities and each response will then be analysed against a set criteria.

3.3 The preferred contractor will be shown to the LJC before being formally agreed as the successful provider. The can scrutinise Officers decisions.

3.4 A Contract will then be drawn up between Shropshire Council and the provider to provide youth activities as designed in the quotes document.

4. Young People Consultation

4.1 A wide ranging consultation has begun with young people. It is vital we undertake this exercise before the quotes document is designed.

4.2 The results of this consultation will be shared with the LJC prior to the next public meeting where a recommendation to proceed will be required.

4.3 The LJC are asked to consider young people's comments in relation to youth activities when recommending viewing the quotes document at the next meeting

Option 1 – Visual representation of potential tender results

New Minsterley Youth Club	New Westbury Youth Club	Existing Youth Clubs	
£6,000 - X2 professional youth workers	£3,500 X1 professional youth worker	£3,000	
£1,376 – Accommodation at Minsterley Parish Hall	£1,000 – Accommodation at Westbury Village Hall		
Total £7,376	Total £4,500	Total £3,000	Grand Total £14,876

Option 2 – Visual representation of potential tender results

Ford Youth Club	Hanwood Youth Club	Nesscliffe Youth Club	
£5,590 Transport (based on 43 weeks)	£5,590 transport (based on 43 weeks)	£5,160 transport (based on 43 weeks)	
Total: £5,590	£5,590	£5,160	Grand Total £16,340

Option 3 – Visual representation of potential tender results

Virtual or 'non static' Youth Club
£3,500 – Professional worker (147 hours)
£3,000 – trips budget (subsidy)
£8,000 – events budget x 4 a year
£2,000 – Entertainment budget
Total: £16,500