



Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024**.

Name and Organisation:	Lisa Flay .
------------------------	-------------

Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a. Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Additional Sustainability essay.

12.1 - 12.3.

12.4.

Housing + Employment topic paper

7.63 and 7.64.

8.7 - 8.8.

updated greenbelt topic paper.

~~The green belt around Albrighton should remain~~

6.4 - 6.8

Paragraph(s)





Q3. Do you consider the document(s) are:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|---|-----|-----------------------|
| A. Legally compliant | Yes: | X | No: | <input type="radio"/> |
| B. Sound | Yes: | X | No: | <input type="radio"/> |

Q4. Please detail your comments on the specified document(s).

Please be as precise as possible.

There are more appropriate sites than Albrighton to meet Black Country's need - i.e. Tasley, Shrewsbury and Ironbridge power station. (12.1 - 12.3) (additional sustainability)

Albrighton already has 2 sites planned for housing. No other sites are needed and no green belt site should be made available. (12.4 - sustainability)

I agree that more houses are needed and support the increase of 500. Housing development needs to be planned well and plans to develop on green belt should be rejected. (7.63-7.64 - Housing + employment)

Albrighton is a semi-rural village and should remain so. It is surrounded by green belt which sustains a wide variety of wild life and supports local farmers. It should not be built on. (6.4-6.8 - updated green belt ^{rule})

New housing in Albrighton should be built on the two sites already approved. - Millfields + Wain Estates. (6.4-6.8)

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)





Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.

