

Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024.**

Name and Organisation:	Mr Martin bailey	
Q1. To which document	(s) does this response relate?	
 a. Draft policy on Housing with Disabilities and S 	ng Provision for Older People and those	
b. Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.		
	Employment Topic Paper.	
d. Updated Green Belt To	opic Paper.	Х
Q2. To which paragraph	(s) of the document(s) does this response	e relate

Q2. To whi	ch paragraph(s)	of the document(s)	does this response r	elate?
	Housing +	Employment	8.7-8.8	
			6.4-6.8	
Paragraph(s):				
				ŀ
1				

Q3. Do you consider the document(s) are:

- A. Legally compliant
- Yes:
- X

X

No: C

- B. Sound
- Yes:

No: C

Q4. Please detail your comments on the specified document(s).

2 | Page









Please be as precise as possible.

have made a life for ourselves, enjoying the countryside with walks across fields and enjoying the views and peace and quiet of the countryside. We have never regretted the move to Albrighton and always really appreciated its environs.

Monsies + Employment Topic Paper Paragraph 8.7-8.8

Albrighton is a village and a small community. There is expansion of the village with ALB017 and ALB021 and this is enough for this area. The existing Local plan seems sensible and well thought out. The newly built houses are close to the A41 to the M54 or towards Wolverhampton. The developments being built now are close to both school and doctors. I agree with the Local Plan which is a sensible approach to the regulated expansion of the village. I am worried that any other expansion of the village would be overdevelopment and unsustainable. The existing local plan of house building should be adhered to at a steady rate of building.

The village and its residents should be allowed to enjoy the surrounding countryside and not have to worry about it being built all over with unsustainable growth.

The building of hundreds more homes will mean that the "village" status will be lost, becoming a town and community spirit go as well.

Albrighton is surrounded by beautiful countryside and if it is built on, then it is gone forever. The countryside is land which already has a use. It is productive farm land which is used to feed the nation. Once it is built on, where food will be grown. House building is going on all over the country, reducing productive farm land and this makes a big difference to food supplies. I love the countryside, but it has evolved over the centuries due to farming and farming needs large expanses of land which is slowly being reduced.

I seriously contest the need to provide housing for the Black country. Firstly, the only reason people from the Black Country will buy houses in Albrighton is because they cannot buy a house near to their own community. They will have no allegiance to the village and they will be living on the periphery. This will create an atmosphere of "them and us" which is damaging to both groups of residents.

Then people will have to commute to places of work, which may well be back in the Black country and also to visit family and friends. This will add to travel times and pollution and congestion. The main A41 into Wolverhampton is already very busy and long queues form in rush hour. This will become worse. The alternative would be to use the M54 and most traffic will then drive through the village to get to the M54 if there is a large housing estate at the top of Cross Road.







It is impossible to predict which route people will take out of the village in order to reach their destination and once a large housing estate is built, there is no going back.

On many occasions over the years, houses have flooded along with roads and fields. There is inadequate drainage in the area. With a large development comes the need for extra storm drains to take away the rain water that would otherwise have soaked into the ground. It seems not to be the responsibility of developers to deal with the drainage of rain water beyond the new housing estate and so the problem of flooding in the area will only get worse in an indiscriminate way in the surrounding area.

6.4 ~ 6.8 P36a/P36b

This plan for building houses on green field sites is the dream of one man. I cannot find one other person who agrees with it. How can this be democratic?





(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate. No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made



5 | Page



during this consultation.

