

Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website.

Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024**.

Name and Organisation:	
------------------------	--

Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a. Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	X
c. Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	X
d. Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	X

Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of

the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report

- 12.1 - 12.3
- Table 12.4
- Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; Updated

Stage 3 Site Assessment

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper

- 7.63 and 7.64
 - ● Table 8.1
 - ● Table 8.3

- 8.7 - 8.8
- 16.64 - 16.65

Paragraph(s)
:

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Green Belt Topic Paper

- 4.14 - 4.21
- 5.23 – 5.27
- 6.4 – 6.8
- 6.13

• The 'Black Country need' houses are in Tasley, Shrewsbury and Ironbridge power station. They do not need to be in Albrighton too.

Table 12.4

No other sites are needed after Millfield; ALB017 (Wain Estates site) & ALB021 (East of Wain Estates).

Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; Updated Stage 3 Site Assessment

• It is really important that the draft Local Plan has already assessed the Albrighton South sites

P36A and P36B (which Boningale Homes want to build on) and decided that they should not

be built on. These sites are:

- not suitable for housing
- should stay as green fields + Green Belt - not destroy farming fields
- they are really important fields for Albrighton
- we don't need houses there are adequate other sites planned for housing
- they are near listed buildings and the Boningale Conservation area
- they are a long way from Albrighton centre so will split the village

There will be traffic issues. I live where this extra traffic will be running and object to it. Please do not let any developers build on this important Green Belt land (P36A and P36B)

Shropshire Local Plan - Housing and Employment Topic Paper 7.63 and 7.64

• It's good that Shropshire Council has decided to increase the number of houses that it wants

to build up to 2038 by 500 houses.

• This isn't a massive amount of additional houses but it shows that we aren't against housing development - our placards say no to over development.

• Any builders that try to develop on green fields or get Greenbelt released that aren't in the plan should be rejected and thrown out.

Table 8.1

• Albrighton currently has over 500 new houses planned, being built or recently built - including at Millfields, Wain Estates (ALB017) and east of Wain Estates (ALB021)

Table 8.3

• The houses in ALB017 & ALB021 (Wain Estates and next to it) should be built steadily over a 10 year period

• Following this burst of house building at Millfields and next to it at Wain Estates etc, there should be less development in the village at the end of the period up to 2038

Paragraphs 8.7 - 8.8

- Albrighton is surrounded by Green belt which should not be built on the greenbelt creates our village feel, there is not swathes of brick everywhere.

Paragraphs 16.64

- Albrighton should not have large new employment buildings built on its Green Belt land; even if they provided jobs, we certainly don't need another school as this will label us for YET MORE development.

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Green Belt Topic Paper**4.14 - 4.21**

- Shropshire Council has put of lot of work into its plan for housing in Shropshire and it is right

that any new housing should be built in line with this plan

- If it is not in the Local Plan then developers should not be allowed to build on it

- I agree / it's right etc that the Black Country need housing should be in Tasley, Shrewsbury and the former Ironbridge Power Station.

5.24 - 5.27

- I agree that there should be land safeguarded from development so that it is kept clear for houses to be built after 2038

- If Developers try and get permission to build on that land before 2038 then this should not be allowed

6.4 - 6.8

- It's really important that Greenbelt around Albrighton is kept clear of houses

- Any new large buildings for employment / jobs should be at RAF Cosford and in smaller ad-hoc buildings

- We agree that there should be 3 sites safeguarded from development in Albrighton so they are kept clear to be built on after 2038: ALB014 (Cross Road), P32a (By-Pass) and part of P35 (Kingswood Rd)

- Developments in Albrighton must be phased so that the village does not become overwhelmed, lose its character and community feel

- Any areas outside these sites must not be released from Greenbelt now or in the future - especially not the Boningale Homes proposed Albrighton South sites P36A and P36B

6.13

- Albrighton is not the right place for the unmet Black Country housing needs.

[Large empty rectangular area for providing evidence and information]

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if

invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.