

Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024.**

Name and Organisation:	Chris Corbett
------------------------	---------------

Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a.	Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	
b.	Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	
c.	Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	
d.	Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	

Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report

- 12.1 12.3
- Table 12.4
- Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; Updated Stage 3 Site Assessment

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper

- 7.63 and 7.64
 - Table 8.1
 - Table 8.3
- 8.7 8.8
- 16.64 16.65

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Green Belt Topic Paper

- 4.14 4.21
- 5.23 5.27
- \bullet 6.4 6.8
- 6.13







Paragraph(s):



Q3. Do you consider the document(s) are:

A. Legally compliant Yes: X No: O

B. Sound Yes: X No: O

Q4. Please detail your comments on the specified document(s). Please be as precise as possible.

My name Chris Corbett. moved to years ago for work but chose this house due to the small countryside nature of the village on the outskirts of Albrighton. This gave a good balance of access to amenities such as shop, pub, post office and railway. surrounded by greenbelt land and is peaceful and visually stunning. It is relaxing and a great place to bring up a family. We all enjoy the dog walks in the surrounding land.

I have looked at the Shropshire Local plan and I think it is an excellent document and would like to take this opportunity to fully support their recommendations and conclusions. It is well considered, and I am reassured it protects the green belt around Albrighton which for the above reasons is important to us. The surrounding area is high value farming land the local farmer has won national awards for his regenerative farming techniques. We have 1 acre of meadow made over to wildflowers which was planted in lockdown which helps to promote the local biodiversity.

Two major issues however emerge from the plan affecting local residents:

Firstly, the issue of unmet need in Black Country housing (para 2.1-2.4 of sustainability report) identifies suitable sites for the expansion, as well as table 12.4 and appendix 3 of the same paper. Page 329 states the greenbelt review for Shropshire indicates that the Albrighton site is in a greenbelt parcel, the release of which would harm the greenbelt due to the impact on the setting of the historic settlement and encroachment on the countryside. They mention that no sub-parcels were identified that would have less harm.

Para 2.14,2.15,2.28 and 2.29 of the executive summary of the housing and employment topic paper (C) also clearly address the issue of this unmet need for both housing and employment.

Para 16.64 also expertly addresses the issue of extra land of employment in Albrighton.

The second issue is that of developmental encroachment in the greenbelt. We totally support the concept of a green belt. The authors of the greenbelt topic paper have done a thorough review and the paper should be adopted for Shropshire development planning for 2038 and beyond.

Para 4.14-4.21 identify sufficient provision to satisfy the Black Country housing need without any incursion into the Shropshire green belt.

Para 6.5-6.19 refute Albrighton as a sensible site for these Black Country needs and 6.13 suggests rejection of proposals to use this site.

We totally support the Shropshire local plan.











Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s)



Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.

4 | Page





