

Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024**.

Name and Organisation:	Nick Williams (Berrys) on behalf of H P Price and Sons
------------------------	--

Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a. Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Paragraph(s):	8.65-8.66
---------------	-----------

Q3. Do you consider the document(s) are:

- A. Legally compliant Yes: No:
- B. Sound Yes: No:

Q4. Please detail your comments on the specified document(s).

Please be as precise as possible.

The Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper (UHETP) considers four 'reasonable' options for accommodating the proposed 500 dwelling uplift in the housing requirement, as follows:

- Option 1: Increasing Settlement Guidelines and Windfall Allowances.
- Option 2: Densification of Proposed Site Allocations.
- Option 3: Increasing Site Allocations.
- Option 4: A Combination of Two or More of the Other Options.

The preferred option is 1, increasing settlement guidelines and windfall allowances, and these increases are proposed for Shrewsbury, Whitchurch and the Former Ironbridge Power Station.

All settlements identified for housing development should play a role in accommodating the provision. An example would be the site allocation for Bicton (BIT022). The site extends to 1.8 hectares and yet the housing guideline for the site is only 15 dwellings. The site could accommodate more than double the housing guideline, and more land is available bordering the proposed allocation that would make a logical extension.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.



Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024**.

Name and Organisation:	Nick Williams (Berrys) on behalf of H P Price and Sons
------------------------	--

Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a. Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Paragraph(s):	9.10
---------------	------

Q3. Do you consider the document(s) are:

- A. Legally compliant Yes: No:
- B. Sound Yes: No:

Q4. Please detail your comments on the specified document(s).

Please be as precise as possible.

The Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper (UHETP) considers 'reasonable' options for accommodating the proposed 1,500 dwelling contribution to the Black Country and details that the SA site assessment process has identified three sites to accommodate this provision, as follows:

- BRD030 - Tasley Garden Village, Bridgnorth: 600 dwellings.
- SHR060, SHR158 & SHR161 - Land between Mytton Oak Road and Hanwood Road, Shrewsbury: 300 dwellings.
- IRN001 - Former Ironbridge Power Station: 600 dwellings

All settlements identified for housing development should play a role in accommodating the provision. An example would be the site allocation for Bicton (BIT022). The site extends to 1.8 hectares and yet the housing guideline for the site is only 15 dwellings. The site could accommodate more than double the housing guideline, and more land is available bordering the proposed allocation that would make a logical extension.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.



Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.

