

### Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11<sup>th</sup> June 2024**.

Name and Organisation:	Jane Kyle
------------------------	-----------

#### Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a. Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

#### Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report

- 12.1 - 12.3 ● Table 12.4
- Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; Updated Stage 3 Site Assessment Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper ● 7.63 and 7.64 ● Table 8.1 ● Table 8.3 ● 8.7 - 8.8 ● 16.64 - 16.65 Shropshire Local Plan –
- Updated Green Belt Topic Paper ● 4.14 - 4.21 ● 5.23 – 5.27 ● 6.4 – 6.8 ● 6.13



I have read the Shropshire Local Plan and believe it to be a balanced assessment and future plan that takes into account not only housing and amenities but it appreciates the need to protect green space – greenbelt, as they did over 70 years ago when they wanted to prevent further loss of useful farmland and natural heritage

Sustainability Appraisal Report 12.1 - 12.3 ● Shropshire Council have already assessed and consulted appropriately for the county needs ● ‘Black Country need’ housing is rightly identified as Tasley, Shrewsbury and Ironbridge power station.

Table 12.4 ● Albrighton has already taken development in 2 sites and increased the village size so there is no need for the local plan to look at further housing in Albrighton and especially not any Green Belt sites as these should be protected to maintain the village status, history and atmosphere ● After these, we should have sites safeguarded for housing after 2038 - as this gives us a good plan for long term housing Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; Updated Stage 3 Site Assessment ● It is really important that the draft Local Plan has already assessed the Albrighton South sites P36A and P36B and decided that they should not be built on. We certainly don't need houses here as there are adequate other sites planned nearer to the A41 and M54 – why split the village and cause even more traffic issues with new residents travelling through key areas such as the high street or Bowling Green Lane

Housing and Employment Topic Paper 7.63 and 7.64 ● The 500 additional houses to be built up to 2038 is reasonable, any more would not be sustainable and entail the village changing dramatically in nature to a new town. Albrighton is not nearby Perton or Telford

Table 8.1 ● Albrighton currently has over 500 new houses planned, being built or recently built - including at Millfields, Wain Estates (ALB017) and east of Wain Estates (ALB021) 4 | Page Table 8.3 ● The houses in ALB017 & ALB021 (Wain Estates and next to it) should be built steadily over a 10 year period ● Following this burst of house building at Millfields and next to it at Wain Estates etc, there should be less development in the village at the end of the period up to 2038 Paragraphs 8.7 - 8.8 ● Albrighton is surrounded by Green belt which should not be built on as this provides a buffer zone that maintains our village status and provides “real” farmed green space as opposed to managed parkland for dog walkers. Open green space has a direct effect on mental health Paragraphs 16.64 ● Albrighton should not have large new amenities built on its Green Belt land. This is why we live here – we have CHOSEN to do without this further chaos – I shop on way home and support small local businesses Updated Green Belt Topic Paper 4.14 - 4.21 ● Shropshire Council has put a lot of work into its plan for housing in Shropshire and it is right that any new housing should be built in line with this plan ● If it is not in the Local Plan then developers should not be allowed to build on it 5.24 - 5.27 ● I agree that there should be land safeguarded from development so that it is kept clear for houses to be built after 2038 ● If Developers try and get permission to build on that land before 2038 then this should not be allowed 6.4 - 6.8 ● It's really important that Greenbelt around Albrighton is kept clear of houses ● Any developers that try and build on Green Belt should be thrown out ● New housing in Albrighton should be built on Millfields, ALB017 & ALB021 (Wain Estates and next to it) ● Any new large buildings for employment / jobs should be at RAF Cosford and in smaller ad-hoc buildings ● We agree that there should be 3 sites safeguarded from development in Albrighton so they are kept clear to be built on after 2038: ALB014 (Cross Road), P32a (By-Pass) and part of P35 (Kingswood Rd) ● Developments in Albrighton must be phased so that the village does not become overwhelmed, lose its character and community feel ● Any areas outside these sites must not be released from Greenbelt now or in the future - especially not the Boningale Homes proposed Albrighton South sites P36A and P36B 6.13 ● Albrighton is not the right

place for the unmet Black Country housing needs and any proposals for this should be rejected • We agree that there are better places in Shropshire for unmet Black Country housing needs

*(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*



***Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.***

**Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?**

*Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.*

No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

*The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.*