

Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024.**

Name and Organisation: Andrew Smith	Name and Organisation:	Andrew Smith
-------------------------------------	------------------------	--------------

Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a.	Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	
b.	Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	Х
c.	Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	Х
d.	Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	Х

Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report ● 12.1 - 12.3 ● Table 12.4 ● Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; Updated Stage 3 Site Assessment

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper: ● 7.63 and 7.64 ● Table 8.1 ● Table 8.3 ● 8.7 - 8.8 ● 16.64 - 16.65

Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Green Belt Topic Paper • 4.14 - 4.21 • 5.23 - 5.27 • 6.4 - 6.8 • 6.13

Paragraph(s):









Q3. Do you consider the document(s) are:								
A. Legally compliant	Yes:	Χ	No:	0				
B. Sound	Yes:	X	No:	0				

Q4. Please detail your comments on the specified document(s). Please be as precise as possible.

the village has such a friendly warm and welcoming community without being spoilt by overdevelopment like Shifnal. The village can sustain local community services such as Dr, Dentist, pharmacy, schools, shops, local businesses and station, all within walking distance, without overburdening services with too many residents in the village and surrounding communities. I greatly value the diverse socio-economic background of all residents in the village which make for a healthy vibrant caring community. The local greenbelt areas provide a great opportunity for walking and green spaces to explore for wellbeing both physically and mentally whilst providing employment for rural communities and farming.

I support the local plan as it has taken into account providing for green areas and the environment by protecting the greenbelt around the village of Albrighton. The plan has provided controlled development for future generations sustainably ensuring housing, economic, social growth for Albrighton without over burdening services and infrastructure within the village and surrounding areas. The local plan takes into account the impact of the growth within the village on the infrastructure and addresses the needs of people in the village. The plan is consistent with National policy and ensures for housing needs until 2038 maintaining local densities. I trust the plan will be approved to provide for local residents.

Shropshire Local Plan - Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report 12.1 - 12.3

Shropshire Council have done a good job of consulting with the local communities reviewing housing sites in Shropshire. They've looked at all the sites and are right to decide that the best place for the 'Black Country need' houses are in Tasley, Shrewsbury and Ironbridge power station.

Table 12.4

Albrighton has two sites planned for future housing after Millfield; ALB017 (Wain Estates site) & ALB021 (East of Wain Estates) which is appropriate for future developments upto 2038. No other sites should be made available in the Local Plan for housing in Albrighton; especially not any Green Belt sites; or for sites for any future 'Black Country need'. All other sites should be safeguarded for housing after 2038.







Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; Updated Stage 3 Site Assessment ○ not suitable for housing ○ they are really important fields for Albrighton

It is really important that the draft Local Plan has already assessed the Albrighton South sites P36A and P36B (which Boningale Homes want to build on) and decided that they should not be built on. These sites should stay as green fields and Green Belt - we don't need houses, there are adequate as other sites are available in the Black Country. They are near listed buildings and the Boningale Conservation area, a long way from Albrighton centre, are unsuitable for housing, will cause major infrastructure problems. Please do not let any developers build on this important Green Belt land (P36A and P36B)

Shropshire Local Plan - Housing and Employment Topic Paper 7.63 and 7.64

It's good that Shropshire Council has decided to increase the number of houses to 2038 by 500 houses. It shows that we understand the need for housing but needs to be proportionate and needs to be planned out well. Any builders that try to develop on green fields or get Greenbelt released that aren't in the plan should be rejected and thrown out. Table 8.1.

Albrighton currently has over 500 new houses planned, being built or recently built - including at Millfields, Wain Estates (ALB017) and east of Wain Estates (ALB021) to meet current and future housing needs.

Table 8.3

Houses in ALB017 & ALB021 (Wain Estates and next to it) should be built over a 10 year period. Following this there should be less development in the village at the end of the period up to 2038

Paragraphs 8.7 - 8.8

Albrighton is surrounded by Green belt which should not be built on as it provides a environmental and sustainable area for wildlife, social and employment resource for the local community.

Paragraphs 16.64

Albrighton should not have large new employment buildings built on its Green Belt land; even if they provided jobs. The community already serves a proportionate sized number of shops for the infrastructure and jobs within the local community. To expand resident numbers and housing would put unstainable pressure on these services and any additional over sized schools, shops and building and businesses would be disproportionate to the community it serves.









Shropshire Local Plan - Updated Green Belt Topic Paper 4.14 - 4.21

Shropshire Council has put of lot of work into its plan for housing, any new housing should be built in line with this plan. If it is not in the Local Plan then developers should not be allowed to build on it 5.24 - 5.27 6.4 - 6.8. We agree / it's right etc that the Black Country need housing should be in Tasley, Shrewsbury and the former Ironbridge Power Station.

5.24 - 5.27

We agree / it's right etc that the Black Country need housing should be in Tasley, Shrewsbury and the former Ironbridge Power Station. We agree that there should be land safeguarded from development so that it is kept clear for houses to be built after 2038. If Developers try and get permission to build on that land before 2038 then this should not be allowed

6.4 - 6.8

It's really important that Greenbelt around Albrighton is kept clear of houses. Any developers that try and build on Green Belt should be thrown out. New housing in Albrighton should be built on Millfields, ALB017 & ALB021 (Wain Estates and next to it). Any new large buildings for employment / jobs should be at RAF Cosford and in smaller ad-hoc buildings. We agree that there should be 3 sites safeguarded from development in Albrighton so they are kept clear to be built on after 2038: ALB014 (Cross Road), P32a (By-Pass) and part of P35 (Kingswood Rd). Developments in Albrighton must be phased so that the village does not become overwhelmed, lose its character and community feel. Any areas outside these sites must not be released from Greenbelt now or in the future - especially not the Boningale Homes proposed Albrighton South sites P36A and P36B

6.13

Albrighton is not the right place for the unmet Black Country housing needs and any proposals for this should be rejected. We agree that there are better places in Shropshire for unmet Black Country housing needs

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)









Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s) χ^{\bigcirc}

Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s)

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.



