

Part B: Your Response

Please complete a separate **Part B form** for each response that you wish to make. One **Part A form** must be enclosed with your **Part B form(s)**.

To assist in making a response, separate **Guidance** is available on the Council's website. Responses should be returned by **5:00pm on Tuesday 11th June 2024**.

Name and Organisation:	Mr Martin Lonsdale
------------------------	--------------------

Q1. To which document(s) does this response relate?

a. Draft policy on Housing Provision for Older People and those with Disabilities and Special Needs and its explanation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report.	X
c. Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper.	X
d. Updated Green Belt Topic Paper.	X

Q2. To which paragraph(s) of the document(s) does this response relate?

Paragraph(s):

Shropshire Local Plan – Updated Additional Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Shropshire Local Plan Report

- 12.1 – 12.3
- Table 12.4
- Additional Sustainability Appraisal Report Appendix 3; updated Stage 3 Site Assessment

Shropshire Local Plan – Updated Housing and Employment Topic Paper

- 7.63 and 7.64
- Table 8.1
- Table 8.3
- 8.7 – 8.8
- 16.64 – 16.65

Shropshire Local Plan – Updated Green Belt Topic Paper

- 4.14 – 4.21
- 5.23 – 5.27
- 6.4 -6.8
- 6.13

Additional Sustainability Report Appendix 3: Updated Site Assessment

- The sites which Boningale Homes want to build upon have already been reviewed & assessed in the draft Local Plan, and the decision was that they **should not** be developed, as the sites should stay as Greenbelt/green fields (farm land will increasingly be required in years to come). Greenbelt & green field land is vitally important – please don't allow any development.

There are other sites earmarked for housing, therefore Albrighton doesn't need more houses, the sites are far from the village centre and so will divide the village, as well as causing humungous traffic problems (for a village, the roads in & out are already very busy and often congested, parking is frequently troublesome, and people often park without thought, regardless of obstruction)

- 'Black Country need' housing shouldn't be dumped on other counties or areas, solutions must be found nearer to where this housing is required.

Shropshire Local Plan – Housing and Employment Topic Paper

7.63 and 7.64

- Any development proposed on Greenbelt or green fields, especially not in the Plan, should simply be rejected and thrown out. Albrighton understands Shropshire Council's need, by 2038, to increase the number of houses built by 500, but any proposed building needs careful consideration and good planning, to ensure a good balance for the existing community, resources and amenities.

8.3

- Albrighton has at present over 500 new houses planned, being constructed or recently built (including Millfields), therefore there should be restricted development in the village up to 2038

Para 8.7 -8.8

- Albrighton is in Greenbelt land; this should not be built upon as there is no going back, no reversal once this precious resource is lost. Brown field sites should be considered first.

Para 16.64

- Albrighton is well served by shops, it doesn't need another supermarket; we have a lovely Co-Op, there is Sainsbury's, Asda, etc, in reach and many people have groceries delivered nowadays. The Council has estimated that the current schools are sufficient until 2038, there is no requirement for more. We don't need a Minor Injuries Unit (where will the staff come from to service it, anyway?). Besides which, none of these should be built on Greenbelt land!

Shropshire Local Plan – Updated Green Belt Topic Paper

4.14 – 4.21

- Developers should be sanctioned to build on any land that is not included in the Local Plan – Shropshire Council put much care and consideration into their plan for housing in Shropshire, and any new housing should be kept within this Plan.
- The 'Black Country need' housing should be provided in the aforementioned sites of Taseley, Shrewsbury and the old Ironbridge Power Station

6.4 – 6.8

- Firstly, it's vital that Greenbelt surrounding Albrighton be kept free of new housing. Any suggestion by developers to build on Greenbelt or fields should be rejected. While there should be the 3 sites safeguarded for development in Albrighton, these can then be utilised for development & built on after 2038. No release of Greenbelt!
- The risk of overdevelopment in a perfectly sized community like Albrighton would ruin it's character, and overwhelm it – for instance, Doctor Surgery, parking, never mind drainage & sewers.)

6.13

- As mentioned previously, there are more suitable places in Shropshire for the 'Black Country housing

need', therefore any proposals that Albrighton could accommodate this should be rejected.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please succinctly provide all necessary evidence and information to support your response. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Planning Inspectors, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Q5. Do you consider it necessary to participate in relevant examination hearing session(s)?

Please note: This response provides an initial indication of your wish to participate in relevant hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate.

- No, I do not wish to/consider it necessary to participate in hearing session(s) X
- Yes, I consider it is necessary/wish to participate in hearing session(s) O

The Inspectors will determine the most appropriate procedure to consider comments made during this consultation.