

# SHROPSHIRE LOCAL PLAN STAGE 2 EXAMINATION HEARINGS

## AGENDA – DAY 5

Wednesday 23 October 2024 at 9.30am

**Venue:** Shirehall Council Chamber, Abbey Foregate,  
Shrewsbury, SY2 6ND

**MATTER 5 – Infrastructure, Delivery and Viability (policies SP1, SP2 and SP14)**

**MATTER 6 – Strategic Settlements – Clive Barracks, Tern Hill policy S19)**

Please note:

All participants are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the hearing statements (and any additional evidence) produced by the Council and other parties in respect of the matters addressed at this session. These are available on the examination website.

Most references to questions refer to those posed by the Inspectors in their Schedule of Matters, Issues and Questions (ID40).

The hearings will run until around 5pm, with a mid-morning and mid-afternoon break and a lunch break at 12.30.

### **Matter 5**

#### **Issue**

Whether the approach to infrastructure delivery, implementation and monitoring is positively prepared, justified and consistent with national policy.

**N.B. Detailed issues concerning the individual proposed site allocations will be dealt with under Matters 7-24 and Matter 26**

#### **Discussion points**

1. In our letter dated 15 February 2023 (ID28), we explained that we had some concerns about the gaps in the IDP and asked that it be updated and some of the gaps populated. Has this now been done?

2. Are there known sources of funding for development expected to be delivered in the first 5-7 years of the Plan? Are these all in the Council's latest Infrastructure Delivery Plan?
3. Will the delivery of strategic infrastructure allow for the delivery of planned development in line with the latest housing trajectory? If not, what will be the shortcomings and how will the Council address these matters?
4. Has the time lapse that has occurred with this examination resulted in any changes in terms of viability? If so, what are they and will they affect the deliverability of any aspects of the Plan?

## **Matter 6**

### **Issue**

Whether the Local Plan has been positively prepared and whether it is justified, effective and consistent with national planning policy in relation to the overall approach to strategic settlements.

### **Discussion points**

1. Is the amended policy justified effective and consistent with national planning policy?
2. Is the housing trajectory for the site realistic and deliverable?
3. Have the infrastructure requirements of the proposed strategic settlement been adequately identified and costed? Including the requirements for:
  - a) road improvements
  - b) air quality mitigation measures
  - c) sustainable transport networks
  - d) the primary school
  - e) healthcare
  - f) green infrastructure
  - g) leisure and sports facilities
  - h) local centre facilities
  - i) contamination remediation.
4. Is there evidence that the infrastructure requirements will be delivered within the necessary timescales?